

# Principle vs. Populism: The U.S.A.'s Last Chance

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 23, 2012

[Published in **Executive Intelligence Review**, Volume 39, Number 10, March 9, 2012. <u>View PDF of original</u> at the LaRouche Library.]

#### On Essential Background

The urgent lesson for this report, is, that, remarkably, some actually great U.S. Presidents have lived out the term of office for which they had been elected, often despite the British empire's customarily vigilant assassins within and beyond our shores. I include among such latter wretches such as those associated with the Bank of Manhattan's Aaron Burr (1756–1836).

Not strangely, on the opposing side, our nation's native scoundrels, especially the treasonous sort, have sometimes appeared to be the more fortunate party in the roulette of our nation's elections. The most notable cases of the effects of treasonous policies of practice, are instances of the assassinations of our great Presidents who had been then still in active service at the time they were murdered, such as Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, or John F. Kennedy: those assassinations had often resulted in the replacement of what had been a great President's program, then being ruined by a successor from among those whose simple weaknesses, or base corruption, or even savagely treasonous policies, such as those of President Barack Obama, were thus unleashed, when and where appropriate outlooks and policies had been essential requirements.

There were other cases, in which the British wished, as since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, to induce a downward plunge of our republic, and, for that motive, had preferred the assassination of a great U.S. President on that occasion. Such devices have been included with the intent of fostering an accelerating rate of downward plunge of the real (i.e.) physical U.S. economy under such among President William J. Clinton's adversaries-successors. Such have been

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Actually, there are considerations from the British side, such as the desire to avoid elevating a dangerous foe of the British empire to the rank of likely "martyrdom," when the empire might prudently refrain up to a certain point. Such was the instance of the 1804 assassination of Alexander Hamilton by British intelligence agent (and U.S. Vice-President under President Thomas Jefferson) Aaron Burr, the latter also known as the 1799 founder of the Bank of Manhattan. Hence, the development of what was to become the present-day band of merchant-bank swindlers known as the British intelligence agency with which Wall Street is still presently associated, still to the present day, under the British monarchy's current U.S. puppet-President and international mass-murderer, President Barack Obama. Burr lived to play out the role of a leading swindler in the Manhattan-based circles of Wall Street swindlers which have included that Burr crony Martin Van Buren, the Van Buren who had orchestrated the great Panic of 1837.

the devices which include the damnable roles of George W. Bush, Jr., and that of the present virtual reincarnation of the echo of the rabidly insane Emperor Nero, Barack Obama, each similar to, but of the same list of such cases also worse than the other.

#### The Case of Andrew Jackson's Treason

One leading model for the case of the abortive measures used by our republic's enemies' effort to abort the succession from a great President, John Quincy Adams, had been supplied by a particularly infamous trio of scoundrels, the traitor Aaron Burr, and Burr accomplices Andrew Jackson, and his Wall Street paymaster and controller, Burr accomplice Martin Van Buren. The latter pair, apparently, did not require an actual assassination of President John Quincy Adams, one of the truly greatest of our Presidents in actual nation-building achievements; Wall Street money represented by the ever-treasonous Aaron Burr and his Wall Street understudy Martin Van Buren, sufficed in that case. Thus, the blocking of Adams' re-election sufficed: the desired sort of damnable result was orchestrated, thus, from "the British outside."

So matters went, from imperial Britain's choice of successors, to those from among such British agents and U.S. traitors-in-plain-fact, as the set of the succession of Aaron Burr and his accomplices Martin Van Buren and Andrew Jackson. It was Aaron Burr's successor on Wall Street, Van Buren, who had orchestrated Burr protégé Andrew Jackson's crucial, implicitly treasonous role in creating the great Panic of 1837, which was orchestrated by Van Buren puppet Jackson's shutting down, and despoiling of the Second National Bank of the United States.

Then, might we not ask: What about the hullabaloo of the damned, foolish Jefferson-Jackson dinners?

Then consider the two most flagrant cases of actually treasonous roles of those once-incumbent Presidents who were puppets of London's Wall Street: the President "Teddy" Roosevelt who put the "Bully" in bull-shit, and the Woodrow Wilson who relaunched his family's Ku Klux Klan organization, on a greatly enlarged scale, from within what that treasonously-connected British puppet, Teddy Roosevelt had renamed "the White House," or a worse enemy of our Federal Constitution than even "Teddy," the lying and treasonous British monarchy's stooge, the Barack Obama of today.

For example, Harry S Truman earned the status of a treasonously bent scoundrel (e.g., "Wall Street maven") who was to be seen as just that by the eyes of thoughtful veterans of "World War II." Contrast the case of the practically treasonous (British-agent style) Truman with the Presidents who knew their duties at that time, such as future President Dwight Eisenhower who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Theodore Roosevelt had been trained by his uncle James D. Bulloch, the leading U.S. traitor and British agent and spy for the time of Confederacy, even during and beyond the time his loving nephew Theodore had become President.

had replied succinctly, briefly and affirmatively, from Columbia University, to my very brief letter of that time. "Ike" did much in his time to save the United States and our nation's global mission, as in both war and peace. The collaboration of General Douglas MacArthur with President Kennedy, in resisting the plainly treasonous, British-promoted scheme for a prolonged war in Southeast Asia, still echoes, even today, the actual London motives (both economic and strategic) for the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, viewing Kennedy as being an essential source of insight into the history of the accelerating downturns in U.S. economy since the assassinations of both John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert.

Thence, the elections of certain Presidents, such as Richard Nixon, the silly Jimmy Carter, the "goofy" George H.W. Bush, his sorry son George W. Bush, Jr., and of the most evil of them all, President Barack Obama. Obama might be fairly considered on reflection, still today, as being the ultimate, exemplary, and notable rotten fruit of the assassinations of two Kennedy brothers; we have never, yet, reversed that downward trend in our nation's economy, political history, and public morality, which has accelerated since the time of those Kennedy assassinations. The present pack of four notable Republican Presidential pre-candidates (in clear contrast to the respectable stubborn patriots of that same political party), are to be seen in the light of such heritages as those of the two Kennedy brothers who were inspired by the President Franklin Roosevelt legacy, and who worked toward that end, through the aid of efforts by that President's widow, Eleanor Roosevelt.<sup>5</sup>

Now, the worst of the worst among them all to present date, has been the virtually imported specimen, President Barack Obama; none of the notable four current Republican candidates,—and they are totally unacceptable,—but even they could not be as outrightly evil as Obama, and are likely to be seen, perhaps, even as "lesser evils" were Obama to be continued as the Democratic candidate, even if thermonuclear warfare did not break out before the coming Presidential election could occur.

The fact to be considered on that account, is, that, of the presently four leading Republican candidates in sight, three are outright scoundrels, and, the fourth, a deviant populist infected with an Austrian-school variety of the British imperialist school: a combined variety which might be tolerated in a relatively small central-European nation, but would be a national U.S. catastrophe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Perhaps a surprising fact, but a true one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Again, Perhaps a surprising fact, but a true one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> President Lyndon Johnson was not a bad President, but, since the assassination of President Kennedy, he had suffered a well-informed fear of the fascist guns of French and Spanish haters of President Charles de Gaulle which had been sent, very, very briefly in-and-out from Europe for the relevant mission. Robert Kennedy had been shot down as John F. Kennedy before him. Excepting the matter of President Ronald Reagan's defense of the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), no U.S. President since John F. Kennedy, has done better than Johnson on that account, and several cases, such as George W. Bush, Jr., and the Emperor Nero-like Obama, have been much worse, that still to the present date.

in its own right, were it tolerated in a major power, such as the U.S.A., in high office at this time of grave world crisis. There are some very good Republicans, and some not so bad; unfortunately, none of them who might be considered a decent candidate, is currently standing for a Presidential nomination, at this present time.

An A.D. 2012 Presidential candidate who would be the appropriate antithesis of both Barack Obama and the "Bush league," and therefore actually a patriot, would be the only decent choice at this moment; but, he, or she must also possess certain crucial, other qualifications in addition to those standard virtues. Obama's British-made warfare policies could be reasonably foreseen as meaning that a very large number of American voters were dead, even a great majority, even before the actual 2012 election could have occurred.

We could probably endure all such evils as those, on three preconditions. First that Obama is summarily dumped, under Section Four of the Twenty-Fifth Amendment; second, that the Glass-Steagall Law is re-enacted; and, third, that the commitment to a Third National Bank's establishment be clearly foreseen. Anyone who would not force through all three of those actions, is no leading and loyal citizen of our United States as a matter of fact, and should be judged accordingly.

Therefore, there would be, presently, no hope for the United States, not only economically, nor, probably, even biologically, unless the President waiting to be elected, is neither one of the four Republicans now on stage, nor, the worst choice of all, the mass-murderous, and treasonous mimic of the ancient Roman Emperor Nero, British imperial puppet and established, treasonous mass-murderer Barack Obama himself. Former President Bill Clinton will now soon reverse the fatal error of support for an Obama Presidency, or neither of us, he, or I, are likely to outlive the months ahead.

Any Democrat who supports an Obama re-nomination must be either mentally deficient, or is perhaps a member of an opportunist species better named "Demoncrat," one who suffers a tendency for a degree of, shall I say, an opportunist's lack of "excessive courage for the true cause," at this time of truly existential world crisis.

# 'John Q.' Visits the Delicatessen

The typical modern voter for high office in government, whether in today's U.S.A., or in most of western and central Europe, does not support what is actually a principle of our founded system of government. He, or she, would prefer to raid the intellectual delights of a delicatessen. "I like ... because of his stand on ... [a list of slogans], but I might prefer ... because of his stand on ..."

In other words, unless you and I do something to change the current trend among Democratic Party leaders, the typical voter (including such leaders) tends to be just plain damned silly, or worse, in the way he, or she votes for President in the "pot-luck" voting-booth store. That poor

fellow picks his preferred pickles from the political delicatessen's pot, and leaves the rest "discreetly" to "other people's" imaginations. That sort of fellow might gain what he, or she had, chosen for his own self-inflicted wound; but he would turn out, usually, to have done almost nothing, in fact, to actually contribute anything to their nation's fate, except in the worst sense of such intended achievements:

"Hey Joe, how about that clown you supported in the last election?"

"Yeah, I know: but, don't rub it in; how about that fruit-cake you backed for the election? My man will have learned his lesson. Next time, you'll see, he will not let them fool him that way a second time."

"Okay, Joe; you asked for it!"

Citizens of such preferences as those, do not leave behind an impressive standard of recorded intellectual achievement among our voter class.

Such are the ordinary facts known to the fairly witting citizens, facts which they use to mislead themselves into becoming an ostensibly "unwitting" accomplice in yet another electoral catastrophe.

#### I. How To Choose a U.S. President

The great folly which has been done to quickly ruin the beautiful achievement of our original U.S. Federal Constitution, was, to a large degree, an outcome of the elections of the misguided John Adams and Thomas Jefferson as Presidents of the United States. The root of the failures of those latter two Presidents, and of some numbers from among others later, came as an echo of the role of Britain's Lord Shelburne, who was, and continued throughout his life as the representative of the British East India Company's 1763 victory in the "Seven Years' War," the so-called "Peace of Paris," and the founder of that office of universal evil known since that time as the British Foreign Office.

The corrupting role of that same Foreign Office has persisted with recently increased, corrupting influence, up through the present date, when an outright British agent, and a clinically insane one at that, currently occupies the U.S. Presidency, that on the brink of the threatened, relatively immediate brink of a global thermo-nuclear war which might actually unleash a process of extinction of the human species.

Most of that time, since the more unfortunate elections of those national figures called to be a "U.S. President," such an implicitly treasonous figure approaching the extremes of Obama, has frequently turned out to have been almost as pitiable a quality of stock as Obama.

We have such cases as David Rockefeller's pitiable, poor President Jimmy Carter, or even something far worse, one such as the British royal puppet known as Barack Obama who has been the very worst indeed, so far. Even if one from among the string of Presidents, had not actually done anything properly considered "bad," the negligences, or sometimes worse, when considered in the face of sundry moments of true national crisis, were usually both chronic in character and legion in number. If the incumbent had been such a poorly chosen one as that, those who had, typically, merely voted for that candidate, were often just as "guilty of negligence" for that failure as the actually successfully foolish incumbent in office: both dipped in the same pot, often a smoking one.

What is worse has been the fact, that when each of the current Republican candidates opens his mouth, the support for Obama continues to soar.

Consider some relevant specific parts of this history:

The weaknesses which appeared during the John Adams and Thomas Jefferson Presidencies, were already a forewarning of the real process of ruin which the United States suffered, from time to time, under many of the list of Presidents and Vice-Presidents who have appeared among us, beginning with the apparently perpetual traitor Aaron Burr's own treasonous protégés, Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren. Errant expressions from among earlier national heroes such as John Adams and Thomas Jefferson, share their own somewhat less guilty part, in their opportunists' role in the undermining of the great principle of the Federal Constitution; but, the legacy of outright treason began with the installation of Burr and Andrew Jackson, the same legacy of treason which had been attacked explicitly by such as those exceptionally great Presidents as the Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, and John F. Kennedy, who have been assassinated in office, or the Franklin D. Roosevelt whose death was heartily enjoyed by Winston Churchill's U.S. Wall Street puppet-President and "mean little bastard" Harry S Truman.

The chief reason for the typical citizen's failure to choose an intelligent choice in the polls, after all else had been considered, has been either pretty damned obvious at the time, or should have been, at least not long after the fact. All as if to prove, again, and yet again, that ignorance of the truth is not really innocence.

To set forth my own intention here, reconsider the case of those who condoned the shameless fraud of the so-called Warren Commission's hideously treasonous, summary treatment of the case of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy,<sup>6</sup> or, the shame of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Notably, as one high-ranking victim of the shooting of President Kennedy's party in Dallas that day, John Connally, attested in the matter of the "magic bullet" that day, there was never any proof of the Warren Commission's frankly fraudulent hand-waving fraud presented in this matter. In the matter of the motives for the assassination of President Kennedy, there is no doubt of the very powerful political motives of the hysterically frantic motives expressed by the impassioned enemies of the President's economic and strategic-

relevant accusers of President Bill Clinton, when they should have been exposed as the authors of a virtually treasonous element of fraud in the nominally "Republican" effort at the impeachment of President William J. Clinton. When, in fact, the really evil motive expressed by the accusers, was a concocted sophistry which I regarded at that time as implicitly treasonous in both its intended and expressed effect.

#### When Clinton Was the Target

The actual, cheap, opportunists' motive for the attempted impeachment of "Bill Clinton" was, in its most essential features, located not among patriotically motivated American citizens, but among a set of sort-of-Aaron Burr-style, British imperial fellow-travellers (largely those encumbered with poorly deserved U.S. citizenships) working against the vital interests of both our republic, as also against the interests of the majority of most of the particular citizens of the United States. In fact, they had been working, wittingly or not, in devotion to the vile intentions of the British-led adversaries of the very continued existence of our republic.

How could that have happened to us?

My dear fellow-citizens, you sometimes seem like children in the manner you are so often, so readily taken in by cheap stage-magicians' tricks in such cases of political sophistry! That relevant point needs some additional attention here.

As you should have known by now, President Clinton did, ultimately, beat off the crew which framed up the irrelevant charges for impeachment against him, that at a great cost to him, but far greater damage than that to the future of our republic itself. In that case, nothing was done to right the wrong against our national republic which had been done by the wretched evil-doers behind that impeachment effort.

It happened to be the case that, at the time that charge of impeachment was being crafted by the relevant culprits, President Clinton was engaged in crafting measures which, while not finally definitive in their aimed effect, would have temporarily halted that plunge into a threatened doom of the United States which the repeal of Glass-Steagall was to unleash; the half-ruined Presidency of Bill Clinton came to be expressed as that folly of his toleration of the repeal of the Glass-Steagall law which has caused all of the major suffering which our United States and the great majority of its population has suffered economically since that time.

President Clinton's own error, in condoning the repeal of Glass-Steagall, was a very grave error for which many have suffered, and many will die as a result of the effects of the

warfare policies who launched a prolonged War in Indo-China over, quite literally, the assassinated President's dead body.

cancelling of Glass-Steagall since that time, up through the present date. I doubt, strongly, that he would have conceded to that swindle of repeal of Glass-Steagall, but for the depressing effects of the attempted impeachment proceedings. Also, the fact that Al Gore was Vice-President at that time, and that a Gore candidacy implicitly demanded Clinton's bending to the interests of that inherently failed Gore candidacy, begs the question, whether it was not the foolish Gore candidacy itself which had already worsened President Clinton's high-priced discomfiture, and had brought about the shameful Republican selection of the "goofy bozo," George W. Bush, Jr.

The answer to all that, is: When it comes to a so-called "bottom line" of contemporary public opinion, most of our citizens have acted as if they were either awfully dumb in their public performance as citizens; or, perhaps, they did not care; or, perhaps, were in an intellectually sloppy state of their thinking at that time. This sort of recurrently careless behavior on such accounts, among even a majority among the body of citizens generally today, has been a recent trend in practice, as expressed, notably, on most of the critical issues which have shaped the shaping of the fate of our nation, most of the time. Such has been the type of traditionally ordinary citizen who had usually relied upon the political equivalent of a virtual dead political horse, as his chosen means for attempting to reach what he presumes will be his nation's hopeful destination.<sup>7</sup>

What I have just stated on this matter, is not a matter of the usual sort of mere "hand-waving gestures" in which too many, even among scientists who wish to be considered "popular," indulge themselves all too often. There is, all too often, a viciously false substitution of the priestly opinions of some relic of an ancient Babylonian priestcraft, as a replacement for true political principles: a trend which continues to have been used to corrupt the opinions of most of our citizens.

This is not a recent case. Such shamefulness has been exhibited most of time since the wretched foolishness shown by those citizens who supported the election of the implicitly treasonous inclinations which had been sometimes traced as spoor found along the Cherokee Nation's "trail of tears," as strewn in the political wake of traitor Aaron Burr's puppet-President, Andrew Jackson.

The case of the misguided personal voter is among the most crucial of those dangers to our republic which must be faced in the current approach to the coming U.S. general election of 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> There has been a clearly visible decline in the level of "responsible behavior" among the members of our population since the combined effects of the Kennedy assassinations and the trend set during the combined effects of the unfolding of the Indo-China war and the anti-science cults launched during the late 1960s and the 1970s.

The error to that effect, is not merely that most of the voters are often even violently incompetent in their opinion on even the most crucial issues: the case of the election and reelection of the wretched President Andrew Jackson, is typical of that error from back then, whether it were the product of a malicious intention, or the foolish, simple lack of understanding the subject-matter.

In this case, President Thomas Jefferson's cover-up of the implication of Aaron Burr's murder of Alexander Hamilton, was a crucial step leading toward the role of the same Burr in the evil intent shown by both Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren in their complicity with fellow-accomplice Aaron Burr. So much for the deep roots of sophistry (e.g., "Liberalism") which must occur within the leadership of the Democratic Party still today.

In the case of Andrew Jackson, in particular, his recurringly loutish inclination to do evil, was as fully intentional as it had become the habitual criminality of typical Aaron Burr cronies generally. The problem is not only that of the lack of any innocent coincidence between intention of the candidate, and presumption of the credulous voters; the more fundamental issue is, as in the case of Jackson, that not only does the voter serve as a too-readily-fooled dupe, but that the backers of the candidate used every sophistry "in the proverbial book," to "hoodwink the suckers" (suckers such as those who voted for the likenesses of Jackson and Van Buren, yet once more, and once more yet again, still today.)

### II. The Issue of Political Principles

The great challenge posed by the notion of the right of the citizen to choose an elected government has always been: how often could the innately errant will of a current electoral majority of a party leadership be induced to change its customarily opportunist dedication, this time to represent, simultaneously, a democratic expression, and be a truthful judgment-in-fact? Clearly, it could if most of our judges, elected Federal officials, and ordinary citizens could be obliged to be truthfully and consistently competent, as well as personally honest in their judgment, and, perhaps, might also be committed to the universal principle of the specifically human ("voluntarist") individuality at the same time: but whence did anyone construe the notion that there exists some form of truth inherent in the deep corruption which is called the systemic irrationality of doctrinal "liberalism"?

Of course, arbitrary, and relatively tyrannical rule is to be abhorred and frustrated; but, it was never necessary, nor tolerable that "liberalism" be construed as being consistent with actual truthfulness in the strict sense of meanings.

The evidence on this point is to be made clear from the total sum of the evidence of not only the regrettable features of our own republic's history, but among the leaders of nations generally; without a principle of scientifically clear truth, the goals of the creation of our United States can not, usually have not, and will not be secured.

What, therefore, is the meaning of the word "truth," under these circumstances of today's prevalent practice of the loose bowels of sophistry often exhibited at even the highest levels of popular judgment respecting the policy-shaping of, and among nations? The cases of the treasonous Aaron Burr, Andrew Jackson, and Martin Van Buren were often preferred as being presumed to be "democratic," but were never truthful.

Indeed, for those who know the truth, a commitment to the re-election of President Barack Obama, could be the death of most Americans in thermonuclear bombardment, even before the November 2012 vote were to be cast.

A competent practice of discovery in physical science is to be greatly preferred, most of the time, over the customary vote in a so-called "democratic vote." Yet, even a so-called "decent" scientific practice, has often shown its own faults on this account. That implication of a competent physical science is also indispensable for all facets of honest statecraft.

That is the crucial point at issue here: a crucial point of physical science. What is truth, actually?

This time, the Democratic Party leadership must be induced to become what is now absolutely necessary, and also, for a change, truthful, rather than merely populist sophistries. Should the Democratic Party's leadership fail to deliver the necessary result against the wretched Obama, the hope of succor is left to the hope of some Republican candidates unlike any among the present four Republican candidates whose antics are currently boosting the potential vote for Obama.

The modern roots of the principles of a true republic, lie in such founders of our own republic as the original great predecessors, among the Winthrops and Mathers, such as the Cotton Mather of our first American republic, the Massachusetts Bay Colony. These are the precedents which must be traced into our present modern times. Those are needed root-principles from the central role performed by the Fourteenth-century Renaissance's principal founder of modern science, Nicholas of Cusa; they include the Cotton Mather who, as the mentor of our great scientist-statesman Benjamin Franklin, emphasized the notion of a principle: "To do good."8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In references to the writings of leading figures from the original Massachusetts Bay Colony, it is crucial to recognize the absolute distinction between the cautious relationship of the founders to Britain, since the Mayflower had "put into" the Portuguese colony at the tip of Cape Cod in search of directions, to find their way to the Plymouth Rock landing, as to be contrasted to the horrid state of moral, economic. and political

Nonetheless, the credentials of the United States go deeper, to the developments originally centered in not only the 1439 Great Ecumenical Council of Florence, but the coincidence of the A.D. 1431 torture and cremation of Jeanne d'Arc (while alive!) by her English captors, with a coincident, startled and shocked attention to this atrocity called to the Church councils in progress at that time. Thus, her martyrdom and its effects reverberated quickly throughout France and beyond, as this was most clearly echoed later in the role of France's Louis XI as a leader within the process set into motion by the Great Ecumenical Council of Florence and the work of Nicholas of Cusa in the founding of all of the presently competent strains of modern European science. This was the setting of the true birth of all competent strains in modern physical science.

It remains, also, as the great principle underlying the launching of our own Federal Constitution.

That aspect of the principles of modern physical science, is of the most crucial importance in coming to an effective comprehension of the crisis in the principles of modern statecraft which I report to you here.

#### The Issue Lies in the Subject of Truth

During the interval from mid-2010, through early February 2012, I have devoted a major portion of my expended time in setting forth an identification and description of certain deep principles which underlie my unique achievements, since 1956–57 and beyond, as a relatively uniquely successful forecaster in the matter of economic developments. Since 1953, these methods of forecasting adopted by me have been a uniquely successful practice in general, insofar as I have been able to deploy them, as this fact is exemplified in cases such as my celebrated victory in a December 2, 1971 debate against a then internationally leading, British Keynesian Professor, Abba Lerner, at New York City's Queens College.

My relevant, earlier entry into the field of economic forecasting as such, which had occurred during the mid-1950s, was an expression of my professional employment as a consultant in economic management, as expressed most notably in my exceptional achievements in recognizing the inherent folly of the current practices, and their implications, of marketing of

affairs introduced to the colony under the viciously wicked representative of the "New Venetian Party" led across the English Channel, from the Netherlands, by William of Orange. The New Venetian Party represented that split from the original Venetian Party, a new Venetian Party which had been founded by Paolo Sarpi. The founding of the British empire, was to be traced from its roots in the original Roman Empire, via Byzantium, and via the "third Roman empire" of the Crusaders, via the subsequent role of the New Venetian Party. That "New Venetian" empire has been the essence of the founding of the present-day British empire since the triumph of the British East India Company's "Seven Years' War" at the February 1763 "Peace of Paris."

both new and used automobiles under the rubrics of leading relevant automobile corporations.<sup>9</sup>

The outcome of those developments in my work as a professional management consulting executive during that time, was expressed as based in advances in my methods of physical-economic forecasting effected during the Summer of 1956, for what I had then pin-pointed as a virtually certain crash of the U.S. economy to be experienced during the interval of the coming late February to early March in 1957.

The approach which I had adopted, an approach which led to the relatively unique success of my forecast "within the trade" during that interval, did not please the other executives of my corporate employer at that time, especially once the evidence showed that I had been successful in my forecasting the 1957 crash within the range of those specified dates, as in contrast to the failed views of the other leading executives of the firm and the relevant "trade" generally. The grave error of my rivals in that firm was their frankly foolish reliance on the intrinsically failed practice of a virtually Bertrand Russellite sort of "statistical forecasting," rather than competently applied physical science.

Nonetheless, the continued development of my forecasting methods, during the 1960s and beyond, have been proven to have been the root of a capability which has continued to be uniquely exceptional in their successes thus far, and which continue to be what might be described as "broadly authoritative" in their effects at the present instant, especially when considered against the incompetent standard set by the sophistical liberalism of John Maynard Keynes, and my defeated Keynesian "victim," the imported Keynesian Professor Abba Lerner, in the Queens College debate of December 2, 1971.

That British Professor Abba Lerner had been hauled into the December 2nd debate against me, as a leading part of an effort to find, in the Keynesian Lerner, a global champion to defend those deeply embarrassed, leading U.S. economists who had failed utterly to recognize their utterly incompetent view of an already onrushing deep 1971 recession, a recession which I had defined, and against which I had warned repeatedly, specifically throughout the late 1960s into the Summer of 1971. That conspicuous, and most embarrassing failure of the ostensibly leading academic and related economists of not only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> I think it a relevant personal note here, that it is my experience to find myself nagged by an energetic sense of shame, whenever I saw myself tempted to seek the likeness of a "passing grade" in respect to which my conscience recognized me as tempted to seek the comforts awarded to those corruptible persons who "go along to get along." My recollection on that account is most vivid when I decided to reject the taught classroom dogma of Euclidean geometry which I knew, from the start to be a fraudulent doctrine, because I had recognized, by the age of 15, the existence of a physical principle of construction which readily proves Euclidean geometry to have been a fraud from the start. A fact which I had been taught by examining the "holes" in high-rise steel construction at Boston's U.S. Charlestown Navy Yard. I could never tolerate submission to "Euclidean" and comparable, commonly taught academic hoaxes since that time.

the U.S.A., but Europe, had been the result of a systemic incompetence inherent in what they had been teaching to the hatchlings of Academia for years, their academically most embarrassing failure to recognize what was more the failure of their silly, Keynesian-like statistical doctrines, rather than that of the real (i.e., physical) economy itself.

From the beginning of my entry into the relevant studies which had led me into my profession as a physical economist about a decade-and-a-half earlier, my initial standard was set, as I have just noted above, by my adolescent recognition of the principled, physical-scientific incompetence of so-called Euclidean geometry. Thence, I had been led in my searches for further confirmation of my view of Euclidean and related methods into certain works of Bernhard Riemann, his 1854 habilitation dissertation most notably, from which I had already drawn certain systemic conclusions, during the mid-1950s, which I had brought into play, step by step, since the early years of the post-Truman decade.<sup>10</sup>

Consequently, the development of my professional commitment to the assessment of the economic catastrophe building up in the automotive and related credit practices during my first-hand engagements during the 1954–1957 interval, and, then, beyond represented an increasing commitment to the implications of the unique success implicit in the further exploration and application of Riemannian methods.

It was at a later time, since approximately early 1971, that my earlier emphasis on Riemann was first "adjusted" to incorporate the specific, and wonderful implications of the superior quality of scientific revolution generated through the work of V.I. Vernadsky. It would not be unfair, or an exaggeration, to emphasize that Riemann, Max Planck, Albert Einstein, and Vernadsky, express, typically, the innermost core of those conceptions upon which a fully humanistic comprehension of mankind's role within our Solar system and its subsuming galaxy depends, as a leading challenge for the understanding of almost everything we really know concerning such matters presently.

That paradoxical subject is a matter which I have already elaborated in important features in my two-volume work represented, successively, by my recent **The Mystery of Your Time**<sup>11</sup> and **Science-vs.-Oligarchism.**<sup>12</sup> I mean the problem posed by a misguided, all-too-literal reliance on the grossly fallible role of bare human sense-perception as such.

There is an essential relevance of that referenced material, thus far, for the political-strategic-economic crisis immediately before us. In parts that report is left incomplete with respect to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> At that time, since late 1953, it had been the opening passages and concluding section of the Riemann habilitation dissertation, those dealing with the ontological issues posed by the intrinsic fraud of Euclidean axiomatics which had put me on the relevant track for the analysis of physical-economic practices.

<sup>11 &</sup>quot;The Mystery of Your Time," *EIR*, Vol. 39, No. 3, January 20, 2012.

<sup>12 &</sup>quot;Science-vs.-Oligarchism," EIR, Vol. 39, No. 7, February 17, 2012.

certain important, leading scientific work in which my own and my scientific associates' work, among that of other professionals, is very much in energized progress at this time. Nonetheless, despite the conditional limitations I have self-imposed on presenting certain aspects of my present views now, and which I have therefore placed on my report, here at this time, what I do report is both valid and most urgently relevant, for as far as I am disposed to publish on what may be confidently treated as *work-urgently-in-progress* at this time.

I am not reluctant to identify that added material, except that it be considered only in concert with a relevant scientific or related audience where matters include still-debatable conclusions which are to be held as important, even urgently important subjects for early progress. Essentially, Albert Einstein's "E = mc²" persists as the physical parameter which dominates the discussion more today than ever before. I limit the essential features of the argument presented in this present report to their bearing on the notion of what constitutes both a physical-scientific standard and a valid principle of constitutional law, as distinct from the fallacies inherent in the more ordinary notions of practiced law.

#### What Is Truly 'American Law'?

The founding of the new quality of universal law which was presented to modern European culture in the context of the Great Ecumenical Council of Florence, had been most clearly conveyed to modern European science partly by the circles of Filippo Brunelleschi, and, more notably by the Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa who posed to Europe, then, the principled challenge of moving the channels of progress from the deeply corrupted habits of Europe to habitats across the great oceans. Christopher Columbus' trans-Atlantic voyages of discovery, were among the specific outcomes of that injunction promulgated by the same Nicholas of Cusa whose work, such as his *De Docta Ignorantia* distinguishes the principle upon which the founding of a competent modern science rests, from its then continued Mediterranean-based Roman and medieval predecessors.

The resulting, exemplary distinction of the notions of lawfulness conveyed by the work of Cusa and Cusa's current of scientific progress, can be efficiently summarized for purposes of definitions as a rejection of the reigning oligarchical system of doctrine and public practice throughout Europe at that time.

The effect of Cusa's influence to this effect, found its most original form of most significant influence in the appearance and development of the Massachusetts Bay colonization under the Seventeenth-century leadership of the Winthrops and Mathers, as expressed in the work of the original Harvard's Classical European program of education derived from influence of the work of Nicholas of Cusa in Europe of that time. This influence persisted as leading in the New England colony until the consummately evil New Venetian Party of the followers of

Paolo Sarpi took over the crushing of the Massachusetts settlement during the closing quarter of that century.

It had been at the urging of Cotton Mather, that young Benjamin Franklin was to move the development of his career from a Massachusetts colony crushed by the New Venetian Party's William of Orange, toward what became Franklin's world-wide influence extended across the Atlantic, and, hence, the creation of what became our uniquely constituted young American republic, a republic whose presently endangered genius had been a world-wide, historical force among mankind until the time of the repeal of that Glass-Steagall law adopted under President Franklin Roosevelt, which law had given a fresh basis for the continuation of the intention of the U.S. Federal Constitution, until the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert.

Since those murders of the two brothers, the net effect has been a consistent intellectual and moral decline of the U.S.A. and Western and Central Europe, a decline which was set abruptly into motion by the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, from which there is been no general physical, moral, or intellectual recovery in the trans--Atlantic region of the planet to the present date.

Therefore, our mission, which I have adopted as my own particular responsibility, and for which I have been much feared, increasingly, by the trans-Atlantic establishment, that, most notably, since my surge to a leading position of fearfully hated intellectual intention in the trans-Atlantic region in a British-monarchy-led response to my presentation of the case of the August-December 1971 proof of the intrinsic fallacy of the "economics doctrine" of the relevant elements of, in particular, the English-speaking trans-Atlantic community.

## Understanding Our Failed Economists

The root of the evil to be considered by mankind at this crucial juncture in history, is, proverbially, the superstitious, and also extremely pathological nature of the belief in "money" as such. It is indispensable, if our civilization is to outlive the presently onrushing threat of an immediate outbreak of general thermonuclear warfare, that we free mankind of the pathological characteristic of the general belief in money. The notion of money must be now replaced, in its entirety, by the same principle of credit which was introduced to the U.S. Federal Constitution by the prompting of Benjamin Franklin and Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton. Unless that change is made now, the very existence of our United States were almost about to cease to exist. The function of national and world economy must now be replaced, as if immediately, by a physical principle of credit, the same notion of credit emphasized by the combined genius of Benjamin Franklin and Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton.

After all, the original Massachusetts economy under the brilliantly successful design of the Winthrops and Mathers, was based on the principle of a credit system, that of the Pine Tree Shilling. That economic system never failed in its own design; it could only be crushed by a frankly Satanic force such as the New Venetian party of the followers of Paolo Sarpi, the so-called Netherlands party of William of Orange.

All the relevant incompetencies and related failures of the U.S.A. economy since that time, have been the consequence of substituting the British imperial model of a monetarist system for the U.S. constitutional credit-system. In fact, all monetarist systems of government are intrinsically branches of an imperialist system, one such still to be traced in its development through the pathway represented by the first "universal" system of Mediterranean culture known as the Roman Empire, as that precedent has been extended, almost without exception there, up through the present date.

There exists no intrinsic value in a system of money *per se*. Only the establishment of a credit system, to replace monetarist systems, could bring the world out of the presently lunging plunge into a general physical-economic breakdown-crisis of the planet generally in progress during the present moment.

The fact which I have just stated, thus, requires that we introduce a new chapter of this report, that required to create a separation of the state of monetarist practices habituated to the minds of our citizens presently, to a fresh bath taken in the cleansing waters of a credit system.

# III. The United States as a Credit System, Not a Monetarist One

Probably, for not less than three generations, the organization of human society should been foreseen as depending presently upon a system of respectively fully sovereign nation-states, united in intention through a common commitment to a true credit-system, rather than any form of continuation of a monetarist system.

Long before the time three generations will have lapsed, the progress of mankind's entry to the "colonization" of nearby Solar space, and somewhat beyond, should have reached a preliminary state of "colonization" of not only our Moon, but also Mars.

The human habitation might still, then, be essentially limited to pioneering on the Moon and Mars, but, the security of those colonies, and also Earth itself, will have come to depend on a vast system of dense deployments of units of instrumentation for protection of mankind's life within the inner range of the Solar planetary system. It is also clear in the views of the relevant specialists, that that will be a domain in which an increasingly extraterrestrial mankind "mines" the sources of asteroids and such, rather than going back to dig

such materials out of Earth. That will be within the range of realization of thermonuclear fusion.

Later, when systems of matter/anti-matter are being deployed as a resource for developing a protective screen of security objects, including galactic weather-forecasting, within the Solar system and nearby regions of the galaxy, we should have reached states of technological development virtually beyond the reach of our potential conceptions today; then, humanity should have reached the domain of man's matter/anti-matter travel to the rim of the Solar system and beyond.

There is a systematic approach to understanding the implications of those notions of the potential future of mankind within even the bounds of the remainder of the present century. The dark side of that issue, is that unless we accomplish such objectives, mankind might become extinct for lack of such progress. The continuation of the "zero technological growth" policy would probably lead toward the extinction of our species within the remainder of this century—already, within the course of the Twentieth Century, the "zero-growth" policies of the just-concluded century, such as the policies of the late Bertrand Russell, have already brought mankind to the brink of a beginning of a threat to the continued existence of the human species, a danger which inheres in what we are currently forbidding be done.

Those general observations stated to situate our prospects, should focus attention on the related subjects immediately faced by the presently living generations. During the meantime, the demonstrated, rising tempo of scientific human access to an increasing ration of the implications of matter/anti-matter functions, will almost certainly be of increasing significance for human progress on and beyond our planet Earth, during the decades immediately ahead.

Against that general background, there are two general principles of leading importance to be taken into account. In terms of broad generalities, there are two requirements which are absolutely essential, not only for the progress of humanity, but for prevention of qualitative failure to increase human per-capita productivity.

Mankind has recently entered a history of its existence within this Solar system and the galaxy which includes it, which includes conditions of that galaxy which human life on our planet has never experienced within the known several millions of years of its existence on Earth. The implication is the threat of a galactic quality of danger which had not been efficiently known to us earlier in any definite way during our species' earlier practice. On this basis alone, the challenge of preserving human life within this Solar system itself becomes a challenge to mankind within the span of this present century.

The presently known categories of means placed within our reach and knowledge thus far, emphasize the development of forms of human practice depending upon the practice of both thermonuclear and matter/anti-matter means for both the spread of the prospective habitats of our species beyond the limits of Earth, and modes of transport and dwelling-places for human life made possible within the ranges of thermonuclear and matter/anti-matter capabilities.

So, transport of human passengers by means for reaching Mars orbit within approximately a week's duration, is presented as a subject for feasible development by means of thermonuclear fusion, and the prospect of matter/anti-matter applications in a calculable estimate of a future development. For what should be considered reasonable presumptions, such capabilities are considerable matters of currently active attention for accelerated developments.

There is a unique quality of implication in all this, respecting the special quality of known distinction of mankind from all other presently known as existing types of living species. Mankind's apparently specific distinction is that of an essentially, prospectively immortal species. This distinction is accessible to us explicitly in the role of human creativity; by this accessible means, the human individual surpasses what is called "death" through the expression of what is actual human creativity, a quality not known to exist in any other presently known species. Thus, morally the good which we may do is preserved across the span of successive generations, even probably for existence within our galaxy and beyond, through the aid of the discovery and mastery of so-called physical principles presently unknown to us.

Such is the proper human appetite for the perpetuation of the principle of human life and the work which awaits it within this universe. It is the specific expression of the reciprocal interdependency of human life and human creativity which supplies us the true notion of human immortality expressed as progress, expressed inclusively as thermonuclear fusion and matter/anti-matter modalities.

The alternative to such prospects is a virtually Nietzschean or comparable intellectual/emotional depravity. The greatest danger to all mankind, is the quality of cultural pessimism typified by Nietzschean and comparable expressions of depravity, depravity such as that expressed by the real-life form of a pro-satanic notion of "zero growth," which is the truest expression of what were properly identified as the expression of "sheer evil."