



Rockefeller and the JFK Assassination

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
U.S. Labor Party Presidential Candidate

*[Published in **Executive Intelligence Review**, Volume 2, Number 51, November 29, 1975.
[View PDF of original](#) at the LaRouche Library.]*

At the present pace of Congressional investigations into National Security Council and FBI “dirty tricks” operations, only such police-state measures as the assassination of President Gerald Ford or the passage of the blatantly unconstitutional “streamlined S-1” Gestapo law will prevent the hard facts of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy from soon erupting into general public knowledge. The repeated dragging of the bodies of the dead across the foreground of public attention is an ugly business, but in this case, unfortunately, now an indispensable effort.

The known and corroborated evidence on hand is not yet sufficient to bring down indictments against any of the directing forces behind the killing itself. What is lacking is the chain of evidence directly linking relevant groups of persons to the act of pulling the trigger. Nonetheless, we do presently possess conclusive information concerning the overall plot itself, information of the sort more than sufficient to premise the establishment of a Congressional special investigating agency armed with well-defined powers and objectives.

If known and corroborated facts concerning the assassination of President John F. Kennedy are “gridded” with incontestable facts concerning the post-assassination “cover-up” operations, the result is an overwhelming *prima facie* case for investigation of the Rockefeller-associated factions of the domestic intelligence establishment. Although, on the basis of known facts concerning the assassination itself, a variety of factions might have had the capability of conducting the killing, no faction but that associated with the Rockefeller side of the intelligence community had the capability of effecting both the assassination and the subsequent cover-up. Furthermore, as in the later cases of the assassinations of Reverend Martin Luther King and Senator Robert F. Kennedy, only the Rockefeller faction significantly benefited politically from the killings.

The Oswald Angle

At this point, no person can sanely believe that Lee Harvey Oswald was a “lone assassin.” Like “Sara Jane Moore,” Oswald had a massive “intelligence community” pedigree, linking him many times over to the ranks of stringers controlled by the CIA, FBI, and associated

agencies. The Paine connection massively strengthens the evidence concerning Oswald. The Paines are directly associated with one of the oldest intelligence community cover organizations run through nominally “religious” organizations (like the notorious “Homefront” rag). The Paines were directly active in preceding intelligence community operations targeting the Communist Party USA (CPUSA) and the Socialist Workers’ Party (SWP), and “miraculously” turn up in charge of key aspects of Oswald’s Texas career.

As reported in an earlier article on the Oswald connection, Oswald’s and the Paines’ connections to the intelligence community are centered in assorted right-wing, Bay of Pigs-linked “stringer” grouplets and networks inclusive of such individuals as Vernon Higgins. As emphasized, immediately preceding the JFK assassination, those networks were being massively deployed for screening operations, both of the recruiting and smokescreening varieties. The gist of the collateral-issue findings made by attorney James Garrison is fully substantiated insofar as it points to Oswald intelligence community connections and to networks which were in mobile deployment operations preceding and immediately following the JFK murder.

Although there are grey areas in the investigations of the shooting itself, it has been established that Lee Harvey Oswald could not have accomplished the shootings of both President Kennedy and Governor John Connally. No expert employed has been able to simulate the superman marksmanship attributed to Oswald by the Warren Commission Report. The condition of the bullet attributed to the wounding of Connally attests that it could not have wounded Connally in the fashion reported after first passing through the body of the slain President. Even without conclusive information concerning the present grey areas of the shooting itself, the evidence on hand as asserted by the Warren Commission renders the “lone assassin” role attributed to Oswald a physical impossibility.

Who Was Deployed?

So far, Congress and other institutions have established that the FBI and other institutions were directly involved in highly significant cover-up operations concerning the Oswald case itself. Otherwise, we know that the forces deployed during the period preceding the murder were most conspicuously the perfervidly anti-Communist “stringers” of the intelligence establishment—chiefly outside the official channels of the federal intelligence (National Security Council) establishment. Oswald was an integral part of that collection of stringers, and directly connected by overlapping associations with various networks among those stringers. This perfervidly anti-Communist deployment has been the principal basis in fact for recurring suppositions to the effect that the extreme right wing contributed the agencies directing any possible large-scale conspiracy involved. Technically, the right wing did have a limited potential for organizing such a conspiracy. For that reason, enormous confusion and

misdirection ensues whenever the scope of the investigation is narrowly focused on the assassination conspiracy by itself. The thesis of the right-wing plot is virtually a political impossibility when the subsequent cover-up operations are taken into account.

The presence of Allen Dulles in the Warren Commission is sufficient to prove the point. No right-wing plot to kill a President could have successfully escaped the resources available to an Allen Dulles.

The view that the U.S. has a lot of highly skilled dirty tricks specialists running loose, capable of doing all sorts of things “on their own” is sheer ignorance mixed with paranoia. Every individual with a past connection to “dirty tricks” capabilities has been card-indexed and computer cross-referenced to a fare-thee-well. The obsession with intelligence among those circles themselves is also notable. Every one of the key individuals and groups in the “intelligence community” occupies a majority of his or her time in surveillance of every other intelligence agency, stringer-group, and individual. The world of the intelligence community is a gossip-ridden demi-monde of spies spying upon spies. There is scarcely a member of that network of agents and stringers who does not occupy a cumulative majority of his effort in bugging the various agencies and individuals within the same network.

Some comment on that informal aspect of the networks is relevant. Every spook is obsessed with the fear that one or another of the agencies might be launching a “termination” operation against him for one reason or another. Every part of the network subsists largely on getting actual or virtual blackmail information concerning as many other persons and agencies as possible.

It is true that certain things “can happen” without the prior knowledge of relevant controlling agencies. This is especially notable in the instance of the proliferating zombie countergangs such as the “Manson family,” or the proliferating legions of drug-maintenance psychotics. It is true that this random by-product factor in the situation is becoming a major concern to informed circles, to the point that “one-on-one” sexual-relationship-linked surveillance of key zombies (as well as others) is becoming a significant feature of U.S. life. (Is your preference heterosexual, homosexual, or “other”? The CIA, FBI, ATF, and so forth can supply a stringer-agent to gratify that susceptibility in the interest of either manipulating you or merely surveilling your activities. The FBI is properly regarded as a gang of thuggish pimps operating the biggest whorehouse in the United States. In point of fact, the selection and training procedures of the FBI must inevitably result in a corps permeated with exotic sexual aberrations.) However, despite that “random” by-product hazard, the formal and irregular surveillance occurring within the intelligence community is such that no organized, professional-quality “hit” or other dirty tricks can be deployed without virtual certainty of prior knowledge by the relevant agencies.

Even with our own necessarily limited knowledge of the details of NSC and related operations, we know that given the kind of resources available to Allen Dulles, we could have gotten to the absolute bottom of the Kennedy assassination within less than a month.

The “skeptic” insists: “But you have not proven the direct connection between the firing of the bullets and a large-scale mobile deployment of the intelligence community.” We insist that the argument is irrelevant. Oswald was known to be associated with intelligence agencies and under intensive surveillance and related control of such agencies during the period immediately preceding his alleged direct involvement in the assassination. At the same time, whatever the precise relationship of those deployments to the assassination itself, there existed a massive deployment focused on the objective of such acts as the assassination of leading public officials during the period leading up to the assassination. The proper included prime objective of the Warren Commission was to develop and reveal such facts with accompanying recommendations for eradicating such a capability by the intelligence community from national life. It is important to get to the kind of facts the skeptic’s argument implicitly demands, but that is of merely secondary importance. The object of the investigation—a proper investigation—was not to attempt the impossible of bringing the dead back to life, but to eradicate the risk of recurrence, to expose and extirpate the capability then being deployed toward the end of such assassinations.

The Rockefeller faction of the intelligence community, as epitomized by Allen Dulles, is guilty of covering up—and leaving “in place”—a demonstrated capability for assassinations of numbers of public officials. Dulles *et al.* cannot be excused of overlooking some esoteric fact, of being duped by J. Edgar Hoover *et al.* With Dulles’s knowledge of the intelligence community *which had been built largely under his personal direction*, and his access to the massive controls he had developed over that community, Dulles could not have failed to have known almost instantly the broad outlines of the operations involved. Moreover, many key figures involved—including McGeorge Bundy, George Ball, *et al.*—knew that Allen Dulles had such capabilities, and they knew to varying degrees the overall nature of the intelligence community and its obvious at-least collateral involvement in the circumstances of the assassination. Whatever Dulles, Bundy, Ball, *et al.* may have believed concerning the assassination itself, *they collectively connived to leave in place a known and demonstrated capability for future assassinations of key U.S. public figures.* That latter is what those individuals (and others) stand directly indicted for accomplishing by the known facts.

If the deployed forces had been agents of anti-Rockefeller right-wing elements in U.S. capitalist circles, the Rockefeller machine would have moved (with other forces) to destroy those right-wing factional opponents. Thus, the massive cover-up associated with the Warren Commission report is conclusive evidence of Rockefeller faction complicity in the overall

operation. Only the Rockefeller machine could have made such a cover-up possible. Only the Rockefeller machine had the ability to both engineer a cover-up and to strong-arm knowledgeable friends of the Kennedy family and others into submitting to the cover-up operation.

The Motive

The characteristic faults of the proliferating “assassination buffs” and concerned investigators alike are primarily twofold. The one predominant fault is the narrow obsession with specific leads in detail, in the absence of the method and background knowledge indispensable to sort out irrelevancies and planted misdirection from crucial evidence. The associated predominant fault is the obsession of many with the “principle” of a “non-political” approach to investigation of one of the most highly-political acts of this century.

Out of that mistaken approach one is confronted with such blunders as the following:

- The attempt to show that “the CIA killed JFK because he was on the verge of dissolving the CIA,” is representative of blundering assumptions which fly in the face of simple facts. Both JFK and RFK were political intelligence “buffs,” who exhibited a fascination with the CIA and so forth. They were not engaged in an effort to liquidate the CIA or the intelligence community generally; *they were engaged, beginning with the firing of Rockefeller-man Allen Dulles, in an effort to take control of the intelligence community away from the Rockefeller family machine.*
- The argument that JFK was on the verge of blocking U.S. involvement in escalation of the Indo-China war is a more credible and possibly relevant speculation. Yet, if that is the case, it would be shown to be a subsidiary consideration. The larger issue involved in the assassination of President Kennedy (as the subsequent behavior of the terrified and manipulated LBJ attests) is whether the President of the United States or the Rockefeller family should control the machinery of U.S. foreign policy, especially the military and political intelligence institutions of policy making and implementation. Whatever specific differences in policy existed between the Kennedys and the Rockefeller brothers, the fundamental issue involved in the assassination and its subsequent cover-up was that of control of the policy-making and implementing establishment.

All of the better-known inquiries into the RFK, JFK, and Martin Luther King assassinations, and the shooting of Governor George Wallace, have the characteristic incompetence of either looking for the wrong connections or lacking any clear guiding sense of what they ought to be looking for. One is reminded of the right-wing exposures of the “China Lobby” and associated exposures of the “Communist” connections of the Jewish-Freemasonry

establishment around the Rockefeller family. The right-wingers have, in the best cases, put the right facts together, but have been obsessed by prejudice to the point of reaching judgments absolutely opposite to reality. The “China Lobby” was part of a massive political-intelligence operation chiefly directed by the Rockefellers (John D. I, John D. “Asia Society” III, Nelson, *et al.*), under a liberal-radical cover for the purpose of recruiting and deploying such Rockefeller agents as Chou En-Lai and Mao Tse-Tung into controlling positions of anti-Soviet alliance with the Rockefeller family. The Rockefeller Jewish connections—led by the Rothschild family—*happen to be Jewish*, and happen to be those Jewish financier interests directly responsible for fostering Italian and German fascism and putting Adolf Hitler into power. To see any connection between the Jewishness of the Rothschilds and a Jewish worker or peddler from Vilna or New York’s old Lower East Side or Auschwitz is obviously paranoid idiocy. As for Freemasonry, like the National Council of Churches and such CIA conduits as the American Friends Service Committee, Unitarian Service Committee, or International Red Cross, are essentially means by which political intelligence operations are deployed or susceptible organizations of dupes are controlled to foster an agreeable political climate. The right-winger, obsessed in the same general fashion as most current “assassination buffs,” is so fixed in his preoccupation with the interpretation of isolated arrays of facts that he ignores the simple fact that the Rockefeller brothers are after all Rockefellers.

Political assassinations of well-known figures are the most concentrated expressions of *high-risk political acts*, involving major countervailing political risks such that the act is undertaken only for what the Rockefeller forces (in particular) regard as issues of the greatest relevance to their basic conceptions of political self-interest.

The Robert F. Kennedy Killing

If one insists that Sirhan Sirhan acted to kill Kennedy as a “lone assassin” without control or accomplices, then the presence of Sirhan at that moment is one of the most astonishing accidents in modern history. The Freed fictionalization of that assassination, linking Croatian Nazi war-criminal Artuković to the operation, has a far better factual basis than any of the official versions so far produced.

To understand the Robert Kennedy assassination, one must work backwards from the 1968 Chicago Democratic Convention. That convention involved one of the largest, highest-level covert political destabilization operations in modern history, an operation which was already “in place” prior to the killings of Senator Kennedy, and an operation which could not have succeeded if Senator Kennedy had been alive in August 1968.

In brief, there was a general mobilization of anarchist and anarchoid “left-cover” political intelligence stringers to the end of turning out the maximum number of dupes for the festivities on the grass outside the Democratic Party convention itself. Using that labile mass of agent-controlled dupes to create a “controlled environment” around the convention, Rockefeller-linked political intelligence agencies manipulated President Johnson and many of the key Democratic Party figures like so much political putty. It is not speculative in the slightest to state that Senator Robert Kennedy and his machine would have stripped away the overwhelming majority of the following of the Abbie Hoffmans and David Dellingers in a typically Bobby Kennedy fashion—and, barring massive fraud, Senator Robert Kennedy would have easily taken the nomination and the election. Those results were virtually in the bag—given the situation and the Kennedy machine in the wake of the California primary victory.

The dewey-eyed political commentators would concur with the broad observation that the murder of Senator Kennedy profoundly altered the course of U.S. political history, but they would concur for the wrong reasons.

Senator Kennedy and his machine represented an extraordinary talent for “left-liberal”-flavored counterinsurgency, at the same time they represented a hardened anti-Communist commitment. Senator Kennedy was active in pushing for a right turn in Indonesia and other sectors of Southeast Asia and the sub-continent prior to Fall 1965. This aspect of the Kennedy outlook of 1968 represented only a stylistic difference with the Rockefellers; though the stylistic difference subsumed important tactical differences, the issues involved at that time were not absolute but only secondary.

The Chicago Daley machine was key to the hard differences between the Rockefellers and the Kennedys—a fact which the more dewey-eyed sort of observer either denies or obsessively overlooks. The issue of 1968 was Rockefeller’s commitment to “destabilize” the existing, ingrained institutions of U.S. political life, to remove that source of resistance to the imposition of Schachtian austerity and increasingly fascist political innovations in institutions upon the U.S. The Chicago Daley machine is the strongest bastion of all those U.S. traditionalist forces which the Rockefellers are determined to destroy. Although Chicago’s Mayor Daley may not be noted for his concerned pronouncements on national and foreign policies—except as those matters directly affect the interests of Chicago—the Kennedy machine represented the force which coupled its own foreign and national policy commitments to active consideration of what was best for and acceptable to everything that the Chicago Daley machine exemplifies in U.S. life. That is the significance of the murder of Senator Kennedy. The current efforts of the Rockefeller-linked Governor Walker of Illinois to discredit and destroy the Chicago machine exemplify that continuing issue in U.S. life.

The assassination of President Kennedy, the killing of Martin Luther King (who was threatening to lead a mass movement destroying “black nationalist segregationism” and “local community control”), the murder of Senator Kennedy, the shooting of Governor George Wallace, and the Rockefeller intelligence community’s inside-outside rigging of the Watergate affair are each a critical event in a pattern of assassinations whose consistent effect has been to strengthen the hand and goals of the fascist Rockefeller machine. In the instance of the assassination of President Kennedy, only the Rockefeller-linked intelligence community factions had the capability to effect that combined killing and cover-up, and only that Rockefeller faction benefited significantly.

A Comparable Case: Rosa Luxemburg

Although there is, of course, no political parallel between Rosa Luxemburg and the murdered Kennedys, the assassination of Rosa Luxemburg and ensuing cover-up are the best extant heuristic precedent for analyzing the network and modus operandi in the JFK affair.

The following facts concerning the Luxemburg affair have now been conclusively documented.

1. The assassinations of Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht were ordered by the Anglo-American occupying powers, the “Armistice Commission,” which threatened to impose a military occupation upon Germany unless the Spartakusbund was eliminated as a force.
2. The direction of the assassinations was handled by British agent Canaris, with the aid of the father of the present Graf Peter von Oertzen of the SPD leadership.
3. The convicted assassin was assisted to escape from prison with the direct aid of Canaris acting in cooperation with the Armistice Commission.
4. The SPD government of Ebert, Scheidemann, and Noske was directly involved in both the assassination and the cover-up, especially Scheidemann. Furthermore, although Ebert, Scheidemann, and other key SPD leaders had been employed agents of German intelligence during World War I, not only had August Bebel been a British military spy in Germany during the 1905–1913 period, but the entire SPD right-wing and center faction leaderships were agents of British political intelligence before and after the war. In fact, it was British political intelligence which coordinated even post-World War II acts aimed at covering up facts concerning the assassination generally known during the 1919–1932 period.
5. A significant number of political figures—including Leo Jogiches, Hugo Haase, and Paul Levi—died under “mysterious circumstances” to prevent the key facts from

being forced into open court. Levi, in particular, was killed and thrown out of a window to suppress his dossier against Canaris and the occupying powers—and Levi's body seized and destroyed by the police to prevent the autopsy from revealing the clear evidence of murder (previously noted by Levi's sister in her observation of the wound in the back of Levi's head immediately after his death).

Had Luxemburg lived, or had the truth of her murder been forced into the open, the history of the world up through and beyond World War II would have been drastically different. Without speculating upon Luxemburg's most-probable influence on political life, the networks of Anglo-American agents involved in shaping Comintern and Western European history during the 1920s would have been brought to light either by the mere fact of Luxemburg's active presence or by comprehensive consideration of the networks directly involved in the accomplishment and cover-up of her murder.

Rosa Luxemburg was not a head of state, and yet the extent of the network required to accomplish and cover up her assassination was absolutely massive and international.

The assassination of L.D. Trotsky is also relevant background to the same effect. Although the auspices of the killing were ostensibly Comintern, the following facts are most relevant. "Jacson-Mornard-Mercader" was and is a protégé of PCE official Santiago Carrillo, a present-day NATO political intelligence agent who was a planted sub-agent of key British political intelligence agent del Vayo. The Comintern networks known to have been involved in assisting the assassination effort were dominated by Anglo-American double-agents. Although we do not know whether Sidney Hook was witting of the assassination effort at the time, his political intelligence networks and operations overlapped the networks involved in the Trotsky assassination at several rather highly placed points. The relevant Mexican intelligence official is now well-known to have been a British agent then working in close cooperation with Washington and the FBI's operations. The point illustrated is that the "invisible underworld" of political intelligence dirty work is not made up of tiny little groups of hidden conspirators, but of networks which are as leaky as sieves, such that no important operation can be launched and covered up after the fact without large-scale complicity in both aspects of the operation.

The Warren Commission Report was always a transparent hoax to any individual with competence as a professional historian. It was believed because of the combination of desire to accept its assertions and a massive mobilization of press and other institutions to enforce belief and to kill or discredit any person or group which threatened to conduct a competent investigation and exposures. The difference between 1963–1964 and today is essentially that few persons can continue to maintain belief in the fraud. Moreover, it is now urgent that the fraud be exposed and the truth stated as quickly as possible.