

## Plea to Clinton: Break Openly with British Strategic Lunacy

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

October 2, 1999

*[Published in **Executive Intelligence Review**, Volume 26, Number 41, October 15, 1999. View [PDF of original](#) at the LaRouche Library.]*

*Editor's note: On October 2 and 3, Democratic Party Presidential pre-candidate and EIR Contributing Editor Lyndon LaRouche issued a pair of campaign policy statements, warning President Clinton about the danger of continuing to allow American foreign policy to drift in a direction set more than two decades ago by British geopoliticians and their Wall Street and Beltway clones. Here is LaRouche's first statement, dated October 2.*

Citizens! Please help me get President Bill Clinton's administration off that kick about "weapons of mass destruction." Then, with the President's cooperation, we might be able to free U.S. foreign policy and strategic doctrines from the continuing grip of former British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher's scientifically lunatic approach to economic and military strategies.

To come directly to the point, I turn your attention to the areas of the former Soviet Union known as the Transcaucasus and Central Asia, where the threat of a strategic nuclear confrontation—somewhere down the line—is brewing even as we speak. When we speak of the kinds of use of nuclear weapons we must anticipate in that connection, we should recognize that what President Clinton and the official U.S. strategic and intelligence establishment are saying publicly now, is not merely incompetent, but is nothing but reckless, very dangerous strategic folly.

The likely use of nuclear and related weapons is not what the "nuclear disarmament freaks" have been using to terrify suggestible adults and other childish people for decades. Think of the use of a limited number of types of nuclear weapons whose intended effect is not mass killing of targeted populations, but something much more military, more effective in conception. I warned you of such developments already during the early 1980s. It is my information, from sources I consider extremely responsible, that several nations, including Russia, are developing such weapons-systems now.

Just to illustrate the point, take the case of what is called “EMP Effect”: “electromagnetic pulse effect.” The most likely forms of such “EMP Effect” weapons would be of a type which uses “miniaturized” thermonuclear “detonation” to generate effects, not intended to kill masses of people, but to neutralize, for example, nearly every personal computer and similar sort of “smart technology” apparatus in the U.S.A. and western Europe. The indications are, that such weapons are now high-priority undertakings in progress in nations including the U.S.A., Russia, and Israel.

A “surprise attack” using even a modest total number of such weapons, would neutralize most of the “information age” technology of the economies of North America and western Europe for not less than months to come.

There are other weapons of a “special effects” type which nuclear and thermonuclear reactions make feasible right now.

To understand such weapons, and their revolutionary impact upon contemporary developments in military strategic potentials, think of controlled nuclear and thermonuclear reactions, not as ways of irradiating millions of civilians, or as creating a simple, crude “big bang” effect. Think of controlled thermonuclear reactions as providing mankind with a controlled force of far greater “energy-density” per square centimeter than any other known force in the universe—excepting matter/anti-matter reactions. Think of using that great concentration of power as a source of energy to create many kinds of effects which would not be possible without such a source of power of such extraordinarily high cross-sectional action. Think of using such devices, made possible by such power, to create new dimensions in what today’s misinformed people describe as “conventional warfare.” Think of a power, such as today’s economically ruined Russia, which has no effective capability for fighting general, conventional warfare in the Transcaucasus and Central Asia, except the use of advanced scientific methods to replace the massed military personnel capabilities which no longer exist for virtually any of today’s nations, including the U.S.A.

Put that nation, such as Russia, against the wall, threatening its extinction. If Russia could deploy such advanced capabilities, in that circumstance, would it act so? Stop being as childish or moronic as a Zbigniew Brzezinski or George W. Bush!

Ask yourself another set of questions. If you were Russia, or the U.S.A., or Israel, for example, and you were developing such new categories of science-driven strategic weapons: Would you brandish such “super-weapons” as a Joseph Goebbels would? In the present circumstances? Absolutely not; only a fool would threaten another nation with the use of such weapons. The function of new technologies in war-making is to outflank the adversary,

relying on techniques which the adversary has either failed to develop adequately, or which the adversary has been self-deluded into ignoring as real possibilities.

### ***Why the Trilaterals Are Doomed***

The problem with people of the Thatcher-Korbel-Brzezinski-Albright-Gore-Bush stereotype, is that they are, either, like George W. Bush, relatively weak-brained, or, like Brzezinski, Albright, and Condoleezza Rice, crazed fanatics deluded by their own fantasies. The madness which drives sick minds like Brzezinski, Madeleine Albright, Al Gore, Condoleezza Rice, and Britain's resident lunatic Tony Blair, is their utopian dream of eradicating the institution of the modern sovereign nation-state. They wish that utopia with such passion, that they refuse to consider that any alternative to their mad dreams could be possible. They are mad, because their dreams have made them madmen.

The present madness of such fools as Brzezinski, Bush, Thatcher, Blair, and Gore, can be traced back to the 1975 Tokyo meeting of Zbigniew Brzezinski and George Bush, Sr.'s Trilateral Commission. The U.S. commitment to fall in line with Thatcher-like lunacies of world government began, officially, with the inauguration of the Trilateral Commission's President Carter. Brzezinski launched what became Iran-Contra, with the Anglo-American deployment into the Afghan war. From no later than December 1981, Vice-President George Bush continued and escalated that policy, with the help of financing from the international drug-trafficking. It is that combination, typified by the Anglo-American cronyism of Brzezinski, Bush, Thatcher, Blair, Albright, and Condoleezza Rice, which is engaged in such operations as deployment of their long-standing terrorist mercenaries, such as Osama bin Laden, into wars against Russia, India, and China, in Central Asia and the North Caucasus today. This is now the hot-spot whose incendiary effects could lead to the kinds of use of nuclear-energized weapons I have indicated.

These Trilateral-style madmen, just because they have been driven mad by their own utopian fantasy, refuse to consider even the possibility that the institution of the sovereign nation-state might have effective political and other weapons up its sleeve, weapons with which to strike back effectively against the would-be empire of world government and globalization. These madmen say of their intended victims, "Don't you see? Nothing can stop the process of globalization! Nothing can stop world government from eliminating the nation-state now!"

They believe such lunatic dreams so passionately, that they refuse to learn the lesson which all of medieval and modern European history should have taught them. In the end, all efforts to establish a permanent world-empire have been doomed. So, a hundred years of war, in the effort to enforce world-government, led to halving the population of Europe, and what is

called a “New Dark Age” of the mid-Fourteenth Century. Similarly, the attempt to crush the nation-state in its cradle, during nearly a century and a half, from 1517 until 1648, drowned Europe in religious warfare, and doomed Spain to degenerate into a third-rate power. Every would-be Ozymandias, and his accomplices, are always ultimately doomed by their own folly. This time, the trip from the appearance of great power, to obliteration, will be, hopefully, a short one.

The President should abjure all of the utopian childishness of those advisors who are associated with the monotonous mouthing of the nonsense-phrase “weapons of mass destruction.” He should clean out the pigsty, and install instead a collection of senior scientific and military professionals, mostly over sixty years of age, professionals who can remember the pre-McGeorge Bundy, pre-Kissinger, pre-Brzezinski, pre-Albright times, when science and strategy were informed by strategic planners who were sane.