

Fascist Wave of ‘New Left’ Terrorism Under Way

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

November 18, 1977

*[Published in **Executive Intelligence Review**, Volume 4, Number 49, December 6, 1977. View [PDF of original](#) at the LaRouche Library.]*

The following analysis was issued on November 18 by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., U.S. Labor Party chairman.

All crucial indicators show that the London-centered intelligence networks behind international terrorism and “zero-growth” policies are currently in the process of rapid reorientation of the terrorists, the terrorists’ sympathizers and the “environmentalists” toward mass hooliganism based on an overt profession of fascist ideology.

The leading, exemplary signals of this development are the current “Autonomi” outbreaks in Italy and the complementary “ideological” retooling being done by such neo-Fabian conduits as the Summer 1977 issue of the U.S. neo-Fabian journal *New German Critique*. These current developments are directly connected to “environmentalist” terrorist regroupments in progress in France and to the efforts toward establishing a “Green Party” in the Federal Republic of Germany.

The following summary analysis of this turn is designed to satisfy the special needs of the relevant intelligence units in the affected Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development nations, and to inform citizens generally in such a way as to contribute to the climate of informed public opinion essential to appropriate countermeasures.

The task of the intelligence and security specialists includes a working mastery of the essential features and interconnections among four facets of the fascist-terrorist wave being tooled for deployment. First, most narrowly, the specialist must define the kinds of deployments which have to be contained and neutralized. Second, the specialist must develop a sociological-political profile, together with a definition of the appropriate parameters of continuing surveillance and intelligence and counterintelligence operations. Third, the intelligence agencies fighting this fascist terrorism must follow the evolution of the “scenarios” to which effect the terrorists are deployed. Fourth, the responsible agencies must define the connection between the fascist-terrorist forces as such and the ostensible

“liberal” outwardly non-terrorist forces in whose behalf the terrorist special operations are deployed.

This report covers those four elements in summary.

1. Summary of the Situation

The present turn in terrorist-linked special operations will feature the following notable elements:

1. The neo-Fabian “New Left” internationally will now orient around a doctrine of “corporate socialism” with increasing emphasis on the equivalence of the terms “corporate socialism” and “fascism.”
2. The central issue of this shift will be advancement of “environmentalist” causes together with growing emphasis on demands for such initiations of the Nazi Arbeitsdienst as the proposed U.S. Humphrey-Hawkins bill program. These forces will define themselves as in opposition to “right-wing” “profit-oriented fascism” and will concentrate its ire against nuclear and related high-technology programs.
3. The shift will be accomplished by a convergence internationally between “right-wing libertarian” and certain professedly fascist groups with the “corporate socialism” elements gathered around such “environmentalist” figures as Ralph Nader and “cultural relativist” Margaret Mead.
4. The “chic” liberal environmentalists and their déclassé radical-youth activist allies will join with “right-wing libertarians” in efforts to rally a constituency from what they will term variously the “under-working class,” the “lumpen proletariat,” or simply the “poor.”
5. The activist forces will mobilize various kinds of actions, ranging from U.S. anti-Teamster “Watergates” to Mussolini-modeled violent attacks against trade unionists and certain industrialists and related targets. These spearhead or “exemplary” actions will be intensified to catalyze mass violence along the same lines with support of the “poor.”
6. The escalation of “pro-environmentalist” riot tactics by the fascist forces in general will overlap a continuation and escalation of terrorist special operations. The two operations will tend to shade into one another.
7. The fascists will be deployed to a twofold political purpose. For the short run, fascist deployments will be used as a psychological warfare special-operations capability in support of “liberal” anti-nuclear and related corporatist programs, such as the Humphrey-Hawkins Bill in the U.S.A. At the same time, for the intermediate term, the liberals’ intervention to prevent effective neutralization of the environmentalist-terrorist fascist forces will foster the

growth of a new fascist movement, building an actual fascist-state alternative around the nucleus of the "environmentalist" New Left kernel.

2. Sociological-Political Profile

In Italy at this moment, New Left forces centered around the fascist "Autonomi" are deployed against the trade unions, high-technology industries and supporters of economic development. Otherwise, there is strict differentiation possible at this time between "*squadristi*" deployments of the Autonomi and the actions of the terrorists proper.

Strenuous efforts to establish a similar deployment are being made in France. A similar policy is centered around the threat to launch a "Green Party" in the Federal Republic of Germany, and a parallel retooling process has surfaced around the present tours of Ralph Nader and his allies in the U.S.

The case of the summer 1977 issue of the *New German Critique* is appropriately seen as exemplary of the ideological reorientation associated with this turn. A comparison of that journal's contents with the current campaign ideology of Ralph Nader properly underlines the most relevant connections to be placed under surveillance by intelligence and security agencies.

As background to examination of the significance of the current activities of Ralph Nader and *New German Critique*, it is most relevant to include a thumbnail review of the current corresponding developments in West Germany, Italy, France and the United States.

In the Federal Republic of Germany, the central axis of related developments is the threat of forces associated in part with the Sozialistisches Büro split away "left-wing" elements of the government coalition parties, the SPD and FDP, to establish a new, "Green Party" bringing together all the established political neo-Fabian currents with the environmentalist Citizen's Initiative movement. In this case, the intent of London-centered intelligence networks to destabilize the government of Chancellor Schmidt is most directly evident.

In Italy, the explicitly fascist form of the development is most luridly exhibited. Neo-Fabian elements associated with the Socialist Party's "left" (the Lombardi-Craxi currents), the Radical Party, the PDUP-Manifesto-Lotta Continua-Trotskyist bloc, and the fascist Autonomi themselves, are deploying in support of the Autonomi as an anti-labor, fascist force with targets indistinguishable from those of the terrorists *per se*.

In France, two sets of interconnected developments have erupted as part of this international "fall offensive." During the past week, the various "Trotskyist" groups in France have joined with the "Greenies" in the effort to establish a common command structure. That development is merely part of an ongoing effort to create a joint command structure around

François Mitterrand's Socialist Party, the complicit reformists factions of the parliamentary parties and the "environmentalists" forces and the "extra-parliamentary left." During this same past week, British intelligence-controlled forces in France, the terrorist communalist forces of the Basque, Corsican, Breton and Polisario gangs, also convened through representatives to establish a common command.

There are three principal parameters to be kept most prominently in view in evaluating the capabilities and related characteristics of the new terrorist forces emerging from these developments. First, the sociological-political composition of the fascist formations. Second, the characteristics of the developing ideology of the fascists, especially as this ideology shapes the forms of action to which the fascist forces are most readily adapted. Third, the general nature of the connection to British intelligence networks.

The emerging fascist orientation of the environmentalist and terrorist-allied forces depends chiefly upon classical fascist social composition: the combination of enraged, liberal *déclassé* middle-class elements with the enraged and manipulated criminal potential of the slum and related strata. The psychological feature of such social chemistries, especially under conditions of crisis, is the susceptibility of the white-collar middle-class youth and criminalized slum strata to violently heteronomic, individualist impulses. These strata have a special degree of susceptibility to violently anti-social attitudes and behavior, whenever cultivated individual and small-group (heteronomic) impulses are brought into conflict with a general social interest. Under such conditions, their outlooks may be described variously as Hobbesian, Rousseauvian, or that of the principal 19th-century ideologue of fascist sociology, Max Stirner.

The clinically psychotic feature of the world-outlook of these strata is exemplified by the fascist's representation of his antisocial tantrums as expressions of the impulses of the "people." He means "the people" in the sense of Max Stirner's Hobbesian schema, the projection of the peculiar impulses of a few heteronomic individuals upon the entire society, and the denial of that majority of society whose impulses do not coincide with the Stirnerian psychotic's own professions.

In correspondence with the pathological infantilism of the slum-criminal and *déclassé* middle-class elements, the characteristic mode of social behavior of the fascist and protofascist is the psychotic episode, or tantrum. This is usefully understood, in first approximation by the layman, as a kind of adolescent's or adult's parody of a bad two-year-old child's tantrum. The homicidal psychotic either murders his family or holds his wife or some other mother-surrogate hostage, and will normally kill the hostage as a kind of ritual homicide against the symbolic mother. The susceptibility of mentally damaged terrorists to

homicidal bombings and homicidal attacks against “father figures” (authority-figures) can best be understood, for purposes of first-approximation insight, in these terms of reference.

It is also characteristic that the fascist and protofascist employs the neurotic's “you made me do it” charge against the victim, and attempts to adopt a morally justified position *vis-à-vis* his or her victims. “If you prevent me from imposing my will on society, you are guilty of the consequences your stubbornness forces me to impose upon you.”

The case of the Heidelberg Socialist Patients' Collective (SPC) illustrates a vital feature of all fascism. The SPC made a central point of defending its sickness against those who would attempt to take this sickness away from them. The same argument is used by the best-known group of Mexican terrorists, the “Sick Ones,” who threaten even some of their sympathizers on this point.

The transformation of such mere mental pathology into a political movement depends upon a certain kind of social reflection within the ranks of established social authority. Someone in a position of authority (communications media, politicians, religious authorities, academic authorities) must say, “These terrorists or fascists have ‘something to say’....” When the criminal element finds its behavior accredited in the mirror of some authoritative stratum of society, that reflection tends to strip away the voice of conscience. The criminal no longer considers himself a criminal, the psychotic no longer heeds the inner voice that warns him against his insanity.

Once those parameters of character and capability are taken into account, the third, decisive feature of the emergent fascist-terrorist forces is the controlling role of networks dominated by British intelligence services. The immediate point of reference for this purpose is the development of British intelligence services during the 1919–1945 period. The knowledge associated with that point of reference, combined with general knowledge of approximately two centuries of British intelligence history, and with knowledge of British advantages within post-war Anglo-American networks, gives the qualified intelligence and counterintelligence specialist the basic points of reference for his duties.

The intelligence and counterintelligence specialist needs to proceed from the following basic facts, otherwise his work must be tainted by essential incompetence. First, he must know that the enemy behind fascism and terrorism today is an Anglo-American faction centered in British intelligence. Secondly, he must understand how British intelligence develops and uses terrorism and fascism as a form of special operations against targets such as the governments of Italy, Germany and France today. By assessing the connections and capabilities involved in fascist terrorism as a British SIS-SOE operation, the intelligence specialist begins with the indispensable premise for his work.

This is exemplified by the case of France. The four “communalist” groups meeting this past week, the Breton, Basque, Corsican and Polisario organizations, are each creations and tools of British intelligence. In basic technologies, these “movements” are parodies of British special operations techniques of World War II, and indeed are based on second-generation vestiges of British special operations networks of the 1939–1945 period in the same areas of operations.

It is instructive to examine conflicts among allied French, U.S. and British intelligence services during World War II, which conflicts have a significant hereditary connection to British intelligence’s deployments and capabilities of today.

For example, the use of two areas as important bases of operations for West German Red Army Fraction (RAF) operations: the French-Swiss border area and sections of the Low Countries.

The French-Swiss border has been a base of British operations throughout the present century, with elements of safehouse and other British intelligence capabilities established under the cover of the Boy Scouts organization (e.g., camp areas owned by the Boy Scouts network, used for terrorist training). This was one of the key British-controlled areas during the war.

Similarly, with close cooperation between British intelligence and the Dutch government, and agreement with the Belgian government, the Low Countries were kept under British control, to the virtual exclusion of French and American services. This coincides, of course, with British occupation privileges of Germany itself, and also with the British control of Italy dating from the days of Horatio Nelson.

Without those elements of British intelligence networks dating from the past, RAF terrorism in West Germany today would not have been possible.

Also notable is the London International Institute for Strategic Studies. Not every participant in the IISS is a British agent, of course. The IISS is a network-organization, which has a priority included task of penetrating governments, communications media and military services in order to spread IISS influence through persons coopted into IISS associations. However, the IISS itself, the controlling hard core of the operation, is the Oxford-Cambridge Anglo-American intelligence establishment, an extension of the Royal Institute of International Affairs and of the London Round Table. It is coordinate with the British monarchy, the Institution which exerts extra-parliamentary control over British intelligence, and with a group of London and New York merchant bankers typified most significantly by Lazard Brothers and Lazard Frères.

The U.S.A. side of this same network is, most conspicuously the neo-Fabian networks associated with the “mother” organization, the Washington, D.C. Institute for Policy Studies. The U.S.A.-based neo-Fabian or “left CIA” networks interface with, notably, the London Institute for Race Relations and the Netherlands-based Transnational Institute. In the United States, the same network includes the RAND Corporation, the Ford Foundation, and uses of “Jewish Lobby” (Joint Distribution Committee, B’nai B’rith) as a major cover.

Environmentalism, terrorism, and the emerging fascist international movement are being created now out of environmentalist movements. Terrorist and countercultural forces generally as special operations puppet-forces of these Anglo-American networks, networks under the central control of a British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) which has absorbed both the old Special Operations Executive (SOE) and Special Air Services (SAS) into a joint agency.

3. The “Scenarios”

Although the uses of fascist and terrorists are limited by the intrinsic sociological-political potentialities of such forces, the direction and purpose of terrorist and fascist deployments do not arise autonomously from within those forces themselves. Like any covert special operations force, the potentialities of the forces recruited are directed to purposes determined by the controlling agency. The peculiarities of the employed tools do, of course, affect the course of action taken, but do not determine the coordination of purpose of such action.

As U.S. columnist Drew Middleton emphasizes, in citing the contents of two reports of the Central Intelligence Agency, international terrorism is a form of surrogate warfare used by governments against governments. It is primarily a bloody expression of British psychological-warfare practices as developed by the Tavistock Institute and that Institute’s U.S.A. partner, the RAND Corporation, and has the same guiding purposes and principles laid down for psychological warfare by the British command early during World War II. However, as World War II experience also emphasizes, at a certain point, special operations of psychological warfare can go over into auxiliary deployments in force in aid of regular and irregular warfare.

The special significance of the overt turn to fascist ideology by Ralph Nader and others is that such a turn represents a shift in terrorist policy of British intelligence, from mere psychological-warfare use of isolated acts of terrorism to irregular warfare. That is, to the launching of large-scale destabilizations intended to foster preconditions for “stability” or “civic” operations along Northern Ireland or Chile lines within OECD countries. The objective is to create a situation in which British control of NATO can be exerted, with aid of complicit elements of U.S.A. intelligence and commands, to intervene in Western

European nations to deal with situations created by escalation of British intelligence's terrorist-centered special operations.

The deeper objective of this operation is already advertised by such British figures as British Finance Minister Denis Healey, and EEC Commissioner Roy M. Jenkins, and by such co-thinkers of Jenkins, Healey *et al.* as the World Bank's Robert McNamara and James R. Schlesinger. The objective of the financial interest behind Healey, Jenkins, McNamara, and Schlesinger is to impose a neo-Schachtian world order of hyperinflationary super-austerity. Under this arrangement, most of existing industrial capacity would be triaged in favor of the prosperity of a narrow, cartelized residue. Outside the privileged, cartelized area, general deindustrialization and resort to Nazi-modeled sorts of labor-intensive "full employment" projects (e.g., the Arbeitsdienst, the Humphrey-Hawkins proposal) would be directed to the end of an economic final solution to the "overpopulation problem."

Just as the Churchill-linked British intelligence services, with aid of the Einaudi and Benedetto Croce circles, imposed Anglo-French intelligence agent Benito Mussolini on Italy, and as British intelligence developed fascist movements in Germany against the Rapallo tendency in Weimar Germany, so the new fascist movements of environmentalists, terrorists, and so forth are being created as shock troops of destabilization to aid in establishing a British-designed fascist world order today.

This circumstance ought to make clear that no secure prevention of terrorism and related problems can be effected without overt political warfare against the British-centered forces responsible for international terrorism. Those forces and their policies must be publicly identified, and national forces mobilized both to oppose those enemies and their policies and to support appropriate treatment of the fascists and their accomplices as traitors under conditions of de facto political warfare.

This is not, of course, a war against the English people, but a war against those forces which have already reduced the British internal economy to the most bankrupt of all the OECD nations. It is war between forces for two opposing policies, in which connection the majority of British people, especially British industrialists and working people, must be mobilized as allied wartime special operations forces mobilized the forces of the European continent against fascism then.

4. The Liberal Complication

The most painful fact concerning fascism is that it is in significant part an outgrowth of liberalism, specifically that form of liberalism which associates itself with the traditions of Max Stirner, Simmel, and Max Weber. This sort of liberalism goes over into fascism in the way exemplified by such cases as Soren Kierkegaard, Herman Hesse, Martin Heidegger and

Jean-Paul Sartre, through the route of radical existentialism. Kierkegaard's symbol seduction, and Hesse's symbolic acts of monstrosity are the identification of those impulses within Hobbesian (Stirnerian) man which, given sensuous reality, are the acts of the fascist and terrorist. Sartre exemplifies that transformation from an evil fantasy-life to the acting-out of such fantasies by those he patronizes.

Historically, this is exemplified for Germany by the case of Hjalmar Horace Greeley Schacht, the American-born leader of the Weimar German Democratic Party. Schacht's economic policy and the Nazi regime of 1933–1936 were Siamese twins, neither of which could have been a reality during that period without an organic tie to the other. It was the economic policy of Schacht's liberal German Democratic Party which created the Frankenstein's monster which proceeded without Schacht after a surgical semi-separation of 1936–1937.

In Italy, Mussolini had been a British agent subsidized financially through French army intelligence conduits intimately linked to British intelligence. It is merely an evil fairy-tale that the march of Mussolini's beggars'-opera troupe on Rome autonomously established fascism in Italy. That "March on Rome" was a stage performance, a mask for more significant operations run by British intelligence behind the scenes. Behind the scenes, the key forces were liberals, including British intelligence agents of influence such as Einaudi, the father of PCI leader Giorgio Amendola, and, above all, Benedetto Croce and his circle.

Contrary to the post-1925 myth of Croce the anti-fascist, it was the pre-1925 Croce who contributed most significantly to the creation of Mussolini's movement. Like Giorgio Amendola's father, the post-1925 Croce participated in founding the anti-fascist movement among those who have created fascism but who decided, after 1925, that Mussolini had outlived his usefulness. With the conquest of Naples by the British during the Napoleonic wars, that former southern center of Italian humanism was transformed by the British into a nest of horrors, with the 19th-century University at Naples a virtual extension of Oxford in creating most of what has become evil in Italy to this date.

It is the children of Croce, notably the circles around the Einaudi Foundation and the circles of the former Italian Action Party, who are the nucleus of terrorism and all other major evils within Italy to this day. They influence the Communist Party of Italy through such Naples University circles' products as Amendola and Napolitano; they exert top-most control over the Republican Party through Ugo La Malfa; they control the majority of the apparatus of the Socialist Party through the circles around Riccardo Lombardi; the Radical Party of Italy is their creation and a center of terrorism. The extra-parliamentary pro-environmentalist left including the Trotskyists, PDUP-Manifesto, Lotta Continua, the Autonomi, the Red Brigades, and so forth are creations of the same networks all converging as networks upon the circles of Croce and Einaudi. In Italian finance, Cuccia of the Mediobanca exemplifies

the case of a member of the Croce circle, of the Action Party, who is overtly linked to British intelligence through Lazard interests.

The nominal fascist movement in Britain itself, was the creation of the same wing of the British Fabian organization which spawned the Independent Labour Party, and which operated internationally such organizations as the German SAP and the Spanish POUM. Sir Oswald Mosley of the British Union of Fascists created the British fascists as a covert ILP project, a project of the same currents in British intelligence circles on the Labour side as Jenkins and Healey today.

This nasty historical correlation between liberalism and fascism is sociologically lawful. It is the liberal circles as a political market in souls of the petit-bourgeoisie which combines all the potential qualities of the middle-class déclassé fascist in his petty soul. There is nothing properly astonishing in the connection between certain lawyers and other chic petit-bourgeois liberals and the terrorists. This petit-bourgeois in the one moment fawns upon big industrial capital, but with an outlook like that of Uriah Heep of Dickens's *David Copperfield*. He admires big industrial capital with the passion of a potential embezzler.

His alienation makes such a liberal intrinsically infantile in world-outlook relative to the industrialist and the industrialists' banking associates, relative to the socialized world-outlook of the skill-proud industrial worker. He has a fragile grip on social qualities, and under stress, his nasty proclivities of envy of the powerful transform him into a raging beast—at least of the Steppenwolf variety. Thus, this Steppenwolf, this chic liberal aids the terrorists in acts which such a liberal would undertake only in his fantasies.

Like rats and squirrels, this sort of liberal is dangerous only in feeding-packs. Individually, he is Steppenwolf, or the Kierkegaard of symbolic rape.

It is not tolerance as such that defines the Steppenwolf variety of liberal. It is, rather, a perverse kind of tolerance which is based on intolerance for the notions of natural law. (*Naturgesetz* in German, not the ordinary interpretation of *Naturrecht*). He is no defender of Giordano Bruno, but rather a descendant of those evangelical Protestant officials who connived with the Spanish Hapsburgs' Inquisition in ordering the assassination of Giordano Bruno. He denies the existence of historical imperatives for nations and peoples, the existence of a relationship between acts and generalized consequences of acts, a relationship ordered by natural law. To this sort of sickly-minded liberal, the central issue is to prevent any perception of knowable natural law from discrediting his own heteronomic impulses.

This lack of morality among the Steppenwolf variety of liberals is of course sociologically associated with the petit-bourgeois condition of life. His mode of existence is inherently mendacious. He lives by securing a patron for his individual employment and advancement

of his individual status, and maintains that desired condition of patronage by adopting an ideological relationship to the patron not unlike that of the prostitute to her favorite clients. He hates his patron, but slavishly submits to the will of the patron as long as he must, and changes his opinions as a change of patrons prescribes this. He is a sociological phenomenon.

He is not a "liberal" in the ordinary attributions for that term, but rather a "libertarian," a cowardly libertine of the Steppenwolf sort.

These liberals are hostile both to industrial and to labor-movement forces. Their cynosures are the financial aristocracy and the aristocracy proper—these petty Talleyrands! This current within liberal parties, as exemplified by the amoral world-outlook articulated by the evil Croce, is intrinsically the prostitute for those monetarist financial interests which have been for centuries hostile to technologically vectored industrial and agricultural progress.

This feature of liberalism, which is only an emetic sort of endemic moral problem of political life under ordinary circumstances, reveals its potential menace to humanist forms of society under conditions of social crisis. Under those conditions, just as the liberal German Democratic Party of Hjalmar Schacht provided the economic and related policies for the Nazi development, the liberals of the Steppenwolf sort are the decisive complementary force for the fascists and terrorists at such ominous junctures.