



The Kissinger Element in My Planned Assassination

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

August 18, 1977

*[Published in **Executive Intelligence Review**, Volume 4, Number 34, August 23, 1977. View [PDF of original](#) at the LaRouche Library.]*

The following statement was issued on August 18 by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., U.S. Labor Party Chairman and Presidential Candidate.

Federal Elections Commission-Justice Department pressure on Western Union International to cause that firm to attempt to close down vital elements of the U.S. Labor Party's communications and related security operations obliges me to announce the relevant fact that I know from the most reliable source that former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger is personally complicit in the effort to facilitate my assassination.

Because of the importance of my revelations concerning Henry Kissinger, I must insist that at this point I have no direct indication that Nelson A. Rockefeller himself is either complicit or necessarily knowledgeable of the specific implications of Henry Kissinger's action. However, although some Nelson Rockefeller-linked Commission on Critical Choices circles are moving in a relatively positive direction respecting U.S.A. interests, other persons also associated with Rockefeller activities in the past are directly involved, such as FEC Chairman Harris, in the overall operation which includes the projected assassination. Therefore, respecting Nelson A. Rockefeller, I urge him to take sufficient time from his very busy present schedule to inform himself of the full extent of this matter and to directly review the relevant problems with my representatives.

The *Washington Post* articles of Tuesday, August 16, and the projected similar article in the *New York Times* are also part of the same overall spectrum of the operations including the projected assassination. It is notable that these journals, although more emphatically linked to the Humphrey-Mondale faction and Cyrus Vance and certain New York investment houses, are currently pushing Henry Kissinger with an almost obscene enthusiasm. It is also our knowledge that the *Washington Post's* attempted attack was prepared in consultation with the terrorist-linked organization, CounterSpy, a key element in the Institute for Policy Studies' international terrorist networks.

This unwholesome mess poses two sets of observations which, although fundamentally interrelated, are apparently distinct on the surface of things. The involvement of Henry Kissinger in attempting to facilitate my assassination points directly to crucial features of the current international terrorist problem. The matter of Kissinger's despicable role in the matter of the Baader-Meinhof attack throws important light on Kissinger's real role in the current impeachment process against Jimmy Carter and the "Atlanta Mafia." We consider the second aspect first.

Far Worse than Carter

Although the current press coverage might not suggest this to be the case, there are worse alternatives than Jimmy Carter. For example, a Mondale White House. Still worse, a Mondale-Kissinger package. Kissinger's implication in the Baader-Meinhof affair points to a back-room deal between Kissinger and Mondale's backers.

The Baader-Meinhof operation itself is partially of U.S. origins, but also, through Hamburg and other points, involves the same sections of British intelligence (and their backers) which created and operate the IRA Provo terrorists. The principal targeting of the writer for Baader-Meinhof or "George Jackson Brigade" assassination (depending upon my location) was principally conducted through certain lower Manhattan investment house financier circles, who prepared the propaganda motivation for the assassination at about the time of the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania FEF conference this past Spring, with massive lying slanders conducted through B'nai B'rith and other culpable organizations into certain American Jewish circles. This circle of financier interests, which were circulating that same terrorist slander in a more limited way as early as last September 1976, have direct connections into the British intelligence and financier factions which run the IRA Provos and which are most relevant in the Hamburg link to Baader-Meinhof in West Germany.

Kissinger's connections to this mob of cutthroats are not new. According to Charles Colson and others, it was Henry Kissinger and his stooge, White House office-boy Alexander Haig, who played the crucial inside role both in setting up the Nixon Administration for Watergate and for doing the inside job in cooperation with the *Washington Post*, *New York Times*, and others from the outside. Henry, the key figure in the National Security Council and its critical Special Action Group subsidiary throughout the entire period, was never brought into focus during the Watergate (most strangely, since his bugging of Halperin *et al.* started the process leading to Watergate) and got off scot-free.

Let's not kid ourselves about Kissinger. It was Henry Kissinger who set up the genocidal proxy war in Lebanon. It was Kissinger who organized the present crisis in southern Africa. It was Kissinger who set up the conflict between Ethiopia and Somalia (at that time backing a

different Ethiopian government). It was Kissinger who set up the potentials for launching a Latin “thirty years’ war” in Central America with the Panama Canal business as the detonator. (The idea of Carter and Vance briefing Kissinger on Panama Canal dealings is pathetically laughable. Henry set the package up.) It was Henry Kissinger who ran the Chile coup of 1973 as a personal “desk job.” It was Kissinger who played all ends against the middle in the Arab nations and OPEC generally, lying generously to everyone involved. Vance and Brzezinski are clumsy fools, but the Carter Administration is correct when it attempts to defend its record in foreign policy with the argument that the new administration has continued the arrangements put into place by Kissinger. Brzezinski is correct in stating that the difference between himself and Kissinger is a matter of “style” rather than substance.

There are significant differences between Kissinger and the London crowd. The name of the difference is Persian-Arab Gulf oil. Kissinger, like Jake Javits and Frank Church, is an Exxon man, as well as an associate of Chase Manhattan Bank. From that latter position he has been acting during recent weeks as if he were still running the State Department and the National Security Council. Even Soviet ambassador Dobrynin is apparently persuaded to this effect.

Let’s lay out the situation.

Looking at U.S. policy from the standpoint of major commercial banks, we have the following picture.

Some of these banks, ostensibly in some degree of agreement with William Simon, are moving to take important options in Western European and Japanese moves toward setting up a new gold-based monetary system. Notable are Citibank, Morgan Guaranty, Manufacturers Hanover, Continental of Chicago, Bank of America and some key banking circles in Texas. They are friendly to French, Belgian and other interests which are moving with a slightly better perception than is so far visible from Simon or Citibank’s Friedman.

Certain other banks are potentially basket cases in the coming crunch. These include Chase Manhattan, Irving Trust, Bankers Trust, Chemical Bank, and so forth.

Key in this pattern was Dresdner Bank’s Jürgen Ponto. Ponto, with direct connections to the saner U.S. commercial banking circles, also had important links into French and Belgian circles, into efforts to stabilize the situation in southern Africa through well-conceived economic packages of development, and into the Arab-OPEC combinations. He was also the individual who set up the financing of the nuclear-fission deal between Brazil and West Germany, a deal which in fact indirectly involves European nuclear industries as a whole. Ponto was killed for the same banking reason I was targeted for assassination by either the

Baader-Meinhof or George Jackson terrorists. And Henry Kissinger intervened overtly to attempt to facilitate my assassination, Henry Kissinger of Chase Manhattan.

The other element aiming to crush West Germany, apart from Exxon and Chase Manhattan, was Lehman Brothers and the investment banks politically aligned with it in Manhattan, London and elsewhere. Those banks are also involved, as is David Rockefeller's family, in the funding of the networks which run international terrorist operations. So, again, international terrorism, Kissinger, and certain financial combinations converge.

The key point to understand is that despite Kissinger's delusions concerning his effort to swindle the Soviets in some used-camel trade, the Soviets' muddle-headedness does not extend into certain crucial areas concerning strategic correlations of combined, military, economic and political forces. As the opposition to a Kissinger-keyed U.S.A. regime is crushed in the U.S.A. and Western Europe, this fact alone forces the Soviet command to shift definitively to a war-fighting posture, after which pushes of the sort projected by Kissinger *et al.* mean total war—no matter how desperately Henry Kissinger believes in the combination within the East bloc on which he relies for Soviet capitulations before the forthcoming series of bluffs.

In short, if the Kissinger version of Cartergate is tolerated, those of you who hesitate to join the U.S. Labor Party in this fight at this time are all as good as self-condemned dead men—radioactively dead, along with an estimated 160 to 180 million U.S.A. citizens.

The Terrorist Angle

The hard-core of terrorists themselves is not the problem. In West Germany, for example, the hard-core of the terrorists was recruited from a mental patients' social-work project known as the Heidelberg Patients' Collective, a brainwashing project politically supported with aid of prominent, complicit elements politically close to Peter Graf von Oertzen of the SPD. In the United States, the Lincoln Hospital Detox Unit in New York City exemplifies a similar operation. The terrorists are few, generally known, and could be easily neutralized if they were the main component of the problem.

The so-called terrorist assassins are merely a key, tiny element within the actual terrorist network as a whole. The terrorist network as a whole is that network which mobilizes a section of the liberal, radical and some profiled-as-right elements of the population into a Chomskyian linguistical form of belief-structure. This political stratum is the terrorist network, the political stratum for which the small numbers of assassins are merely an included arm.

This network functions as follows. There are three principal elements to be considered.

At the top, there are the financier and political circles which created and use the terrorist apparatus as an instrument of financial and political policy against nations, other financial interests, and so forth. The terrorists and their associates are merely the hired propaganda, hooligan and assassin tools of the financial and political circles ultimately issuing the orders and providing the funding for much of the “environmentalist,” “radical,” and technical agencies involved.

Under witting control of the financiers and political circles is the technical side of the terrorist operations, the so-called “Interpol network,” including private agencies, corporate agencies, and contaminated elements of official agencies.

Under witting control at the top, but not the lower layers, are the liberals, radicals and others who make up the mass of duped tools of the environmentalist and pro-terrorist elements and their hard-core terrorist elements as such.

It is this third element which represents the belief-structure association of those forces indispensable to the terrorist operation as a whole.

The crucial difference between a terrorist assassination, such as that of Jürgen Ponto, and an ordinary black-operation, professional killing is that the professional assassin is operating as a witting agent of some agency. The terrorist generally does not know that he is a tool of Chase Manhattan policies (for example). The terrorist operates on the basis of a surrogate motivation for killing the Chase Manhattan target “in the interest of the Left.” This distinction is provided by means of the Chomskyian linguistical belief-structure.

The way in which it operates is principally as follows. The broadest layers of liberals, radicals or so-called right-wing groups involved in the overall terrorist networks are simple dupes who are conditioned to think in radically nominalist terms of “radicalism” modeled upon the bestialist doctrines of Hobbes, Locke, Rousseau, Voltaire, Marat, Bakunin, Bentham, Mill, and so forth. Here the “New Left” professors such as wittingly-complicit RAND Corporation anarchist and terrorist sympathizer Professor Noam Chomsky are key.

As this belief-structure is molded and ripened, the dupes are directed toward selected targets. In this process a “left” (and matching “right”) is developed, which has adopted a set of issues, goals and targeting formulas for opponents. This is fed among the “radicals” as such by New Left and similar “underground” media. Credibility is provided for the issues used in the Chomskyian belief-structure by complicit liberal media, which offer a “toned-down” version of the “radical” ideology.

Within the broadest layers of liberal and radical dupes, a small portion is distinguished from the others by its emphasis upon an “action orientation.” These are the hooligans, the immediate social environment and ideological bridging-element to the terrorists as such.

Exemplary of the way in which terrorism operates is the phenomenon of “lists.” Now, normally, a professional black operations team does not publish advance lists of its selected assassination targets. Think! Why does terrorism require this business of prepared lists?

The list is issued in two directions. One direction is government or (more often) press agencies, receiving a list and warning through the mail or by telephone, plus the standard “confession” following each killing. This has two functions. The first, obvious function is to terrorize the indicated victims. However, this has another function. In order to maintain the belief-structure of the terrorists and their political sympathizers, it is essential that a significant number of those murdered by terrorists should have had their names or classifications as targets published earlier.

The second usage of the lists is to condition the terrorists and their political sympathizers. The terrorists and their political sympathizers need to be whipped up into focusing a motivation to kill against selected targets.

There are all kinds of lists. There are lists issued for purposes of psychological conditioning, the usual lists found or issued. There are more secret lists held only by controllers of terrorist operation. However, the two kinds of lists must generally overlap; the names listed on the secret, operational listings must include names (or target classifications) which have been circulated in the propaganda listings. This overlap is integral to the maintenance of the belief-structure of the terrorists and their sympathizers.

It is the larger population of liberals and radicals who support or make involuted moralizing excuses for the terrorists who must be regarded as the main element of the terrorist network as a whole. It is they who maintain the belief-structure of the environmentalist hooligans and terrorists as such, and without whom the terrorist operation could not function. It is that aspect of the terrorist network which must be cleaned up, and thus identified publicly for this purpose.

The heart of the international terrorist network properly defined is the networks associated with the “mother” organization known as the Washington, D.C. Institute for Policy Studies, and complicit elements associated with Bertrand Russell and which abuse the cover of the Socialist International and associated social-democratic groups. This network could not function without such auxiliaries as the Trotskyist and Maoist organizations and such complicit Communist organizations as the leadership of the Communist Party USA.

The deployment of terrorists in the Federal Republic of Germany illustrates the way in which certain Anglo-American and certain elements of British intelligence intersect in deploying terrorism in that country—with aid of some complicit circles in Switzerland.

The concentrations of terrorists and supporting Maoist and Trotskyist organizations are Stuttgart-Heidelberg (principally American linked), Hannover (Anglo-American), Hamburg (British), and Bremen (Anglo-American). The principal political base of support for terrorism currently is the Frankfurt area. The British elements involved are those elements of British intelligence which run the IRA Provos and the financial circles for which those sections work. The American network has undergone many evolutions since Major Henry Kissinger was involved in developing it during the post-war occupation period, but it is ultimately linked to the old Kissinger networks, as well as to the U.S.-linked West-Berlin Ostbüro nodal point. The Frankfurt School is the ideological center around which the development of the terrorist belief-structure is orchestrated, with the Peter von Oertzen-patronized elements of complicit professors and Maoist gangs at Hannover University another key element.

The funding of the Institute for Policy Studies network involves an active role by members of David Rockefeller's family as well as funding conduits and Fabian connections linked to the Kennedy Administration's intelligence community and to the same New York financial circles tied to the presently manifest alliance with Henry Kissinger in the managed Cartergating operation.